



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,231	09/17/2003	Laurent Balmelli	YOR920030209US1 (16701)	5459	
23389	7590 03/28/2006		EXAM	INER	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, PHU K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2628		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,231	BALMELLI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phu K. Nguyen	2673
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/17/0 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 13-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	PHU K. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER (PTO-413) GROUP 2300 te atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

Art Unit: 2673

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3-11, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by RAPPAPORT et al. (6,850,946).

As per claim 1, Rappaport teaches the claimed "image editing user interface system" comprising: "one or more computers with one or more graphical user interfaces" (Rappaport, computer system; column 4, lines 53-55); "a receiving process for receiving one or more rendered two dimensional images on the computer graphical user interface, each two dimensional image representing reflected light from a lit three dimensional object model, the reflective light at each point of the two dimensional image corresponding to an object point on the three dimensional object model" (Rappaport, the 2D raster image of the 3D model in which each of the pixel of the 2D image represents

Art Unit: 2673

a projected point of a corresponding point of the 3D object; figure 3); and "a changing process for changing a portion of the two dimensional image by changing the light intensity at a set of one or more points on the two dimensional image, the change in light intensity at the set of points causing a change in the three dimensional model to correspond to the change in the light intensity" (Rappaport, changing the light contrast of 2D image results in changing the contrast in 3D model; column 8, lines 22-25, 31-37, column 9, lines 39-42; column 10, lines 10-11).

Claim 3 adds into claim 1 "the change in the three dimensional model is a change in the surface curvature at each object point corresponding to one of the points in the set of points of the two dimensional model" (Rappaport, the change of color of pixels in the 2D raster image of figure 3 results a change in the surface of the 3D object represented by the 2D raster image; column 8, lines 12-17; or the surface curvature of the wheel, column 10, lines 4-11).

Claim 4 adds into claim 3 "a color is changed at one or more of the object points with a changed surface curvature" (Rappaport, the change of color of pixels in the 2D raster image of figure 3 results a change in the surface of the 3D object represented by the 2D raster image; column 8, lines 12-25; column 10, lines 10-11).

Claim 5 adds into claim 3 "surface curvature is represented in the three

Art Unit: 2673

dimensional object model" (Rappaport, the wheel is a 3D object model; column 10, lines

4-11).

Claim 6 adds into claim 3 "surface curvature is represented by additional three

dimensional information" (Rappaport, several information are needed to represent the

curvature surface of the wheel; column 10, lines 7-10).

Claim 7 adds into claim 6 "the additional three dimensional information is a bit

map representing one or more of the following: normals at the object point, and

displacement of the object point" (Rappaport, the wheel's specific location represents

the displacement of the object point).

Claim 8 adds into claim 1 "one or more of the changed points on the two

dimensional image are rendered with depth information corresponding to the position of

their respective object point" (Rappaport, the obstruction/partition of polygons in

database contain the depth information corresponding to the polygons' position; column

6, lines 29-33).

Claim 9 adds into claim 8 "the depth information is edited to resolve ambiguity in

the changed object points" (Rappaport, the editing of obstruction/partition involving the

depth data to resolve the ambiguity problems in the original 3D drawing database;

column 6, lines 47-57).

Art Unit: 2673

Claim 10 adds into claim 1 "the two dimensional image further comprises geometric discontinuities that can not be changed by a user in the two dimensional image rendered" (Rappaport, the obstruction/partition or discontinuities inside the 2D image of the house can not be changed by access to the 2D image rendering, it must be changed through the editing of parameters of the objects; column 7, lines 14-17).

Claims 11 and 13-19 claim a method and computer program to perform the interface function of the system in claims 1, 3-10 (Rappaport, the method and software of BDM and SitePlanner systems; column 4, lines 55-64, column 5, lines 57-61); therefore, their features or steps are rejected under the same reason.

Claims 2 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In claim 2 and 12, the allowable feature is:

wherein the reflected light at each point of the two dimensional image corresponds to the respective object point because a light source lighting the three dimensional model and a point diffuse reflectance of the three dimensional model are invariant.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,231 Page 6

Art Unit: 2673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu K. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 7645. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272 7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu K. Nguyen March 10, 2006

PHU K. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2300

Shu Ngy